JUL 0 6 2005

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-494001

Client's Ref. No.: P11786

OFFICIAL COMMUNICATION FACSIMILE:

OFFICIAL FAX NO: (703) 872-9306

Number of pages including this page

Applicant: James R. Trethewey

Art Unit : 2151

Serial No.: 09/955,469

Examiner: Khanh Dinh

Filed

: September 18, 2001

Title

: LOAD BALANCING AND FAULT TOLERANCE FOR SERVER-BASED -

SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attached to this facsimile communication cover sheet is RESPONSE AFTER FINAL TO ACTION OF MAY 6, 2005, faxed this 6th day of July, 2005, to the United States Patent and Trademark Office.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 6, 2005

Fish & Richardson P.C., P.A. 60 South Sixth Street **Suite 3300** Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 335-5070

Fax: (612) 288-9696

60303666.doc

NOTE: This facsimile is intended for the addressee only and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately call us collect at (612) 335-5070 to arrange for its return. Thank you.

Attorney's Docket No.: 10559-494001 / P11786

AZCEIVED Central fax center

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

JUL 0 6 2005

Applicant: James R. Trethewey

Art Unit : 2151

Serial No.: 09/955,469

Examiner: Khanh Dinh

Filed

: September 18, 2001

Title

: LOAD BALANCING AND FAULT TOLERANCE FOR SERVER-BASED

SOFTWARE APPLICATIONS

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE AFTER FINAL TO ACTION OF MAY 6, 2005

In the Action mailed May 6, 2005, the Examiner issued a new rejection of all of the pending claims 1-40 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), and made the rejection final. Applicants request that the Examiner reconsider the patentability of the pending claims 1-40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 103

The Examiner rejected claims 1-5, 9-20 and 24-34 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck et al. U.S. Patent 6,801,949 ("Bruck"), in view of Brendel et al. U.S. Patent 5,774,660 ("Brendel"). Of these claims, claims 1, 17 and 30 are independent claims. The Examiner also rejected claims 6-8, 21-23 and 35-40 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruck in view of Brendel, and further in view of Bowman-Amuah et al. U.S. Patent 6,289,382 ("Bowman-Amuah"). Of these claims, claim 35 is an independent claim.

With respect to claim 1, for example, the Examiner contended that Bruck discloses a method of providing a remote networked computer with a service session using one of a plurality of similarly functioning software applications residing on different servers, and made reference to "front layer" servers 206, 208, 210 and 212 of Figure 2. The Examiner contended that Bruck

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION BY FACSIMILB

			correspond d Trademark		
Date of T	ie	aion.	July 6, 200)5	
Dan di 1	restrict to	9.Ort			
Signature					

Stephen R. Schnefer
Typed or Printed Name of Person Signing Certificate